

## Floyds Fork Area Plan - Comments from Stakeholders Group Meeting, October 29th

#	<i>Comment Category</i>	<i>Stakeholder Group</i>	<i>Comment</i>
A1	Agriculture	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Create some agricultural tourism (like Huber's)
A2	Agriculture	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Plan doesn't fully address agriculture
A3	Agriculture	Farm Bureau	Lack of language to protect farm land
A4	Agriculture	Floyds Fork Environmental Association	Create agriculture zoning
A5	Agriculture	Kurt Mason USDA	Land more viable for small-scale production, not big. Need infrastructure for small-scale
C1	Centers	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Concern about Fisherville center in plan
C2	Centers	Sonya Ridge	Fisherville not a good option for center
C3	Centers	Steve Porter	Fisherville not a good option for center
C4	Centers	Future Fund	Cluster new development
C5	Centers	Steve Porter	Centers a small part of greater area
C6	Centers	Steve Porter	What about Taylorsville Rd. outside interchange? Needs more attention maybe a center?
C7	Centers	Steve Porter	Center at Preston and Interstate 265?
C8	Centers	Homebuilders Association	Should Billtown Center be on north side of interchange?
C9	Centers	Homebuilders Association	Design guidelines too detailed, may not work with new buyers
G1	General	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Recognize Real estate Value
G2	General	Future Fund	Plan needs more "teeth" to leverage more value for all
G3	General	Future Fund	"Plan first, then build" to prepare for certainty
G4	General	Future Fund	Integrate efforts
G5	General	Sonya Ridge	Respect property rights of individual
G6	General	Sonya Ridge	No reason can't develop in outer area as commercial if individual owner desires

G7	General	Sonya Ridge	Concern about the maps on pages 15 and 23
G8	General	Steve Porter	Need greater attention on land not in centers
G9	General	John Hollenbach	Be careful with broad brush approach to identify land as appropriate or not
I1	Infrastructure	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Concern about north-south connector
I2	Infrastructure	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Concern about future sewers
I3	Infrastructure	Floyds Fork Environmental Association	Concern for leap frog infrastructure
I4	Infrastructure	Future Fund	MSD plans need more understanding
I5	Infrastructure	Homebuilders Association	Need to "figure out" infrastructure challenges of future. What will be available? How to pay?
P1	Parks	Homebuilders Association	Plan doesn't integrate into parks plan enough
R1	Rural Character	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Protect sensitive land
R2	Rural Character	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Need vision to protect rural character
R3	Rural Character	Floyds Fork Environmental Association	Need buffers between rural and suburban conditions
R4	Rural Character	Steve Porter	Billtown area going north on west-side of fork, should be conservation area.
R5	Rural Character	Fisherville Neighborhood Association	Value in beauty and trees, not farmland
S1	Subdivisions	Future Fund	Concern about current subdivision regulations
S2	Subdivisions	Homebuilders Association	If flat, land doesn't need to be conservation subdivision
S3	Subdivisions	Other	Need to revisit conservation subdivision regulation
S4	Subdivisions	Other	Still possible demand for 5-acre lots
S5	Subdivisions	Other	R4 conservation subdivision is challenging
W1	Water Quality	Floyds Fork Environmental Association	Plan doesn't address water quality
W2	Water Quality	Floyds Fork Environmental Association	Commercial zoning would have greater impact on water quality
W3	Water Quality	Future Fund	Need more protection (buffer) on streams

W4	Water Quality	Future Fund	Use stream network for protection
W5	Water Quality	Kurt Mason USDA	Stream protection valuable to long term water quality.
W6	Water Quality	Kurt Mason USDA	Protect upland buffer to protect viability of main conduit.
W7	Water Quality	Kurt Mason USDA	Design element important to air and water quality (i.e. upland buffers, etc.)